Simon Ekhammar

arXiv:2109.06164 w D. Volin

arXiv:2211.07810 w A. Cavaglià, N. Gromov and P. Ryan

Uppsala Universitet



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

Introduction and setting the stage

- The last two decades has seen an immense progress in solving D = 4 planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.
- Underlying reason: Integrability



[Minahan,Zarembo,'02] [Metsaev, Tseytlin,'02] [+ A lot of work]

• Mayor accomplishment: The spectral problem was solved! $\langle \mathcal{O}(x)\bar{\mathcal{O}}(y) \rangle \sim \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}} \leftarrow Computable!$

Most efficient formulation? [Gromov, Kazakov,Leurent,Volin '13'14]

The Quantum Spectral Curve







What is the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ QSC?

■ The QSC is a set of 256 Q-functions, they depend on 1 complex parameter: *u*. The simplest Q-functions are called **P**_a, **P**^a





Analytic continuation is controlled by the so-called $\mathbf{P}\mu$ system:

The asymptotics encodes quantum numbers, in particular the conformal dimension!

$$\mu_{12} \sim_{u \to \infty} u^{\Delta - J_1}$$

For reviews, see [Gromov '17;Kazakov'18,Levkovich-Maslyuk '19].

Elevator pitch for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ QSC

Analytic weak coupling computations available ("Black box")

[Marboe,Volin 18']

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} \propto \operatorname{tr} \Phi_{I} \Phi^{I}$ $\xrightarrow{\gamma=12g^{2}-48g^{4}+336g^{6}}_{+(-2496+576\zeta_{3}-1440\zeta_{5})g^{8}}$ $\xrightarrow{\gamma=12g^{2}-48g^{4}+336g^{6}}_{+\dots}$



Structure constants

[Gromov.Levkovich-Maslvuk.Sizov '15]

[Basso, Georgoudis, Klemenchuk Sueiro '22]

Analytic continuation in spin



There also exists many exciting variations and deformations:







5/51

What I will talk about

- The success of QSC in *N* = 4 raises the question: Can we extend it to other theories?
- Yes! Already successfully done for
 - AdS₄/CFT₃ (ABJM) \checkmark

[Bombardelli, Cavaglià, Fioravanti, Gromov, Tateo '17]

🗖 The Hubbard Model 🗸

[Cavaglià, Cornagliotto, Mattelliano, Tateo '15]

- Today: Attempt to extend to AdS₃/CFT₂!
- Why is this exciting?
 - First attempt to investigate an "unknown" CFT using QSC.
 - First attempt to bootstrap a consistent QSC and avoid the long historical route of $\mathcal{N} = 4$.





.

Plan of the talk



1 Crash course on Q-systems

- ${\bf 2}\,$ QSC generalities, the case of ${\cal N}=4$
- **3** Monodromy Bootstrap and AdS_3/CFT_2 conjecture.
- 4 Solving the curve.

Analytic Q-systems

\mathfrak{su}_2 spin chain I

 Consider a homogeneous su₂ spin chain. This model has an R-matrix from which we can build a transfer-matrix



• The eigenvalues of t(u): (Dressed Vacuum Form)

$$t(u) = (u - \frac{i}{2})^L \frac{Q_1^{[2]}}{Q_1} + (u + \frac{i}{2})^L \frac{Q_1^{[-2]}}{Q_1} \bigcirc --- \mathbb{Q}\text{-function!}$$

where $f^{[n]} = f(\mathbf{u} + \frac{i}{2}n)$.

- Q_1 is a polynomial $Q_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{M} (u u_i)$.
 - Asymptotic of Q_1 encodes the quantum number M.
 - Polynomiality of t(u) implies Bethe equations

$$\frac{Q_1^{[2]}}{Q_1^{[-2]}}\Big|_{Q_1=0} = -\left.\left(\frac{u+\frac{1}{2}}{u-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^L\right|_{Q_1} = 0$$

\mathfrak{su}_2 spin chain II

• Can introduce polynomial Q_2 and write t(u) in polynomial form:

$$t(\mathbf{u}) = Q_1^{[2]}Q_2^{[-2]} - Q_1^{[-2]}Q_2^{[2]}$$

 Q_1, Q_2 must satisfy the QQ/Wronskian-relation

$$Q_1^+ Q_2^- - Q_1^- Q_2^+ = Q_{\bar{\emptyset}} \equiv u^L$$
.

Symmetries of the system:

Gauge-transformations

$$Q_{a}
ightarrow r \, Q_{a}, \qquad \qquad Q_{ar{Q}}
ightarrow r^{+}r^{-} \, Q_{ar{Q}}$$

H-rotations

$$Q_a o H_a{}^b Q_b$$
 , $(H^+)_a{}^b = (H^-)_a{}^b$

Benefits of QQ-system:

- More efficient than Bethe equations.
- Correctly deals with exceptional solutions.

\mathfrak{su}_N Q-systems I

To go to \mathfrak{su}_n attach a Q-"vector" to nodes on the Dynkin diagram

where a = 1, ..., n.

The various Q-functions are related by functional equations: QQ-relations:

$$Q_{Aa}^{+}Q_{Ab}^{-} - Q_{Aa}^{-}Q_{Ab}^{+} = Q_{Aab}Q_{A}, \qquad Q_{\bar{\emptyset}}^{-} = u^{L}.$$

$$\bar{\emptyset} = 1234$$

QQ-relations leads to Nested Bethe Equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} A_{3} \text{ Cartan Matrix} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \frac{Q_{12}^{[2]}}{Q_{1}^{[-2]}} \frac{Q_{12}^{[-1]}}{Q_{1}^{[+1]}} \Big|_{Q_{1=0}} = -1 \\ \frac{Q_{12}^{[2]}}{Q_{12}^{[-2]}} \frac{Q_{1}^{[-1]}Q_{123}^{[-1]}}{Q_{123}^{[-1]}Q_{123}^{[-1]}} \Big|_{Q_{12=0}} = -1 \\ \frac{Q_{123}^{[2]}}{Q_{123}^{[-2]}} \frac{Q_{12}^{[-1]}}{Q_{123}^{[-2]}} \frac{Q_{12}^{[-1]}}{Q_{123}^{[-2]}} \Big|_{Q_{123}=0} = -\left(\frac{u+\frac{i}{2}}{u-\frac{i}{2}}\right)^{L} \Big|_{Q_{1=0}}$$

\mathfrak{su}_N Q-systems II

Symmetries of QQ-relations?

$$Q^+_{Aa}Q^-_{Ab} - Q^-_{Aa}Q^+_{Ab} = Q_{Aab}Q_A$$

We still have gauge-transformations and rotations

$$Q_a \to r Q_a$$
, $Q_a \to H_a{}^b Q_b$, $(H^+)_a{}^b = (H^-)_a{}^b$, (1.1)

but also Hodge Duality

$$(Q_a)^\star o Q^a \propto \epsilon^{abcd} Q_{bcd}$$



Q-systems are very general
 Change source terms ⇒ change representations
 Change analytic properties ⇒ change integrable model

Summarizing su_n Q-systems

■ A su_N Q-system consists of functions Q_a, Q_{ab}, Q_{abc}, ... satisfying QQ-relations

$$Q_{Aa}^+Q_{Ab}^--Q_{Aa}^-Q_{Ab}^+=Q_{Aab}Q_A$$
.

- The Q-functions transform under gauge-transformations, rotations and Hodge duality.
- Philosophy from now on: Forget R-matrices, T,Y-functions etc and trust the Q-system.
- To get to QSC we need to generalize two aspects of the 𝔅𝑢_N Q-system:
 - Supersymmetric Q-system
 - Analytic properties beyond polynomiality.
- Q-systems for arbitrary (super-) Lie algebras are still an active research direction [Mukhin,Varchenko '05, Masoero Raimondo Valeri '15-18, Koroteev,Zeitlin

'18-21, Ferrando, Frassek, Kazakov, '20; SE, Shu, Volin'20 ...]

Supersymmetric Q-systems I

- **Now:** Supersymmetric Q-systems! For simplicity: $\mathfrak{su}_{n|n}$.
- Introduce two Q-systems: $Q_{a|\emptyset}, Q_{\emptyset|i}, a, i = 1, 2, ..., n$.
- Connect through new functions $Q_{a|i}, Q_{\bar{\emptyset}|\bar{\emptyset}}$ that satisfy

$$Q_{\emptyset|i} \propto rac{Q_{a|i}^{\pm}}{Q_{ar{\emptyset}|ar{\emptyset}}^{\pm}} Q^{a|\emptyset}$$
, $Q_{a|\emptyset} \propto rac{Q_{a|i}^{+}}{Q_{ar{\emptyset}|ar{\emptyset}}^{+}} Q^{\emptyset|i}$, $Q_{a|i}^{+} - Q_{a|i}^{-} = Q_{a|\emptyset} Q_{\emptyset|i}$.

• We can then build functions $Q_{A|I}$ from QQ-relations.

$$Q_{Aa|I}^{+}Q_{Ab|I}^{-} - Q_{Aa|I}^{-}Q_{Ab|I}^{+} = Q_{Aab|I}Q_{A|I}$$
$$Q_{A|Ii}^{+}Q_{A|Ij}^{-} - Q_{A|Ii}^{-}Q_{A|Ij}^{+} = Q_{A|Ii}Q_{A|Ij}$$
$$Q_{Aa|Ii}^{+}Q_{Aa|Ii}^{-} - Q_{Aa|Ii}^{-}Q_{Aa|Ii}^{+} = Q_{Aa|I}Q_{A|Ii}$$

Supersymmetric Q-systems II

The supersymmetric QQ-relations also implies supersymmetric Nested Bethe Equations:

Once again there are symmetry transformations
 Rotations

$$Q_{a|\emptyset} o (H_{\mathsf{B}})_a{}^b Q_{b|\emptyset}$$
, $Q_{\emptyset|i} o (H_{\mathsf{F}})_i{}^j Q_{\emptyset|j}$

Gauge-transformations

$$Q_{a|\emptyset}
ightarrow r_{
m B} Q_{a|\emptyset}$$
 , $Q_{\emptyset|i}
ightarrow r_{
m F} Q_{\emptyset}$

Hodge

$$Q_{a|\emptyset} o Q^{a|\emptyset} \propto \epsilon^{aA} Q_{A|ar{\emptyset}}$$
, $Q_{\emptyset|i} o Q^{\emptyset|i} \propto \epsilon^{iI} Q_{ar{\emptyset}|I}$,

i,

Summary analytic Q-systems

A $\mathfrak{su}_{n|n}$ Q-system is built from functions $Q_{A|I}$. They satisfy QQ-relations

$$Q^{+}_{Aa|I}Q^{-}_{Ab|I} - Q^{-}_{Aa|I}Q^{+}_{Ab|I} = Q_{Aab|I}Q_{A|I}$$
$$Q^{+}_{A|Ii}Q^{-}_{A|Ij} - Q^{-}_{A|Ii}Q^{+}_{A|Ij} = Q_{A|Ii}Q_{A|Ij}$$
$$Q^{+}_{Aa|Ii}Q^{-}_{A|I} - Q^{-}_{Aa|Ii}Q^{+}_{A|I} = Q_{Aa|I}Q_{A|Ii}$$

- The QQ-relations encodes Nested Bethe Equations.
- We can transform Q-functions using gauge-transformations, rotations and Hodge.
- We are now ready to go to QSC!

Quantum spectral curve for AdS_5/CFT_4

Algebraic aspects of AdS₅/CFT₄

■ The underlying algebra for AdS₅× S⁵ is psu_{2,2|4}. As we have seen basic Q-functions comes in two flavours Q_{a|0} = P_a, Q_{0|i} = Q_i.

 \blacksquare For the AdS_5/CFT_4 QSC they are related by

$$\mathbf{P}_{a} = -Q_{a|i}^{\pm} \mathbf{Q}^{i}, \qquad Q_{a|i}^{+} - Q_{a|i}^{-} = \mathbf{P}_{a} \mathbf{Q}_{i}, \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{P}_{a} \mathbf{P}^{a} = 0}_{\mathbb{P} \mathfrak{su}_{2,2|4}}.$$

compact

non-compact

Sometimes these functions are depicted on a Hasse diagram.



■ Simplest objects of QSC: **P**_a, **P**^a



■ Simplest objects of QSC: **P**_a, **P**^a



■ Simplest objects of QSC: **P**_a, **P**^a:



Simplest objects of QSC: $\mathbf{P}_a(u)$:





Continuation is under control:





Simplest objects of QSC: $\mathbf{P}_a(u)$:





• Continuation is under control:





• How do we fix μ_{ab} ?

The $P\mu$ -system

• The discontinuity of μ_{ab} on the cut on the real axis is computed as:

$$ilde{\mu}_{ab} - \mu_{ab} = \mathbf{P}_a ilde{\mathbf{P}}_b - ilde{\mathbf{P}}_a \mathbf{P}_b$$
 ,

The full μ_{ab} can then be restored by demanding anti-symmetry, Pf $(\mu_{ab}) = 1$ and mirror periodicity

$${ ilde \mu}_{ab}={\mu}^{[2]}_{ab}$$
 .

Supplementing these equations with

$$ilde{\mathsf{P}}_a = \mu_{ab} \mathsf{P}^b$$

gives the the $\mathbf{P}\mu$ system.

• A consequence of the $\mathbf{P}\mu$ -system is that all cuts are of quadratic. I.e



The remaining functions I

- We cannot demand that all Q-functions, Q_i, Q_{a,i},... only have a short-cut. But we can demand that the full Q-system is analytic in the upper half-plane.
- Lets look at $Q_{a|i}$, it satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{a|i}^+ - Q_{a|i}^- &= -\mathbf{P}_a \mathbf{P}^b Q_{b|i}^+ \\ Q_{a|i}(u - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}) &= \left(\delta_a^b + \mathbf{P}_a(u) \mathbf{P}^b(u)\right) Q_{b|i}(u + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Giving an analytic structure



The remaining functions II

■ What about Q_i? From: Q_i = -Q[±]_{a|i}P^a and Pµ-system it is possible to deduce that Q_i is a long-cut function.



Furthermore, applying $Q_{a|i}^-$ leads to the $\mathbf{Q}\omega$ -system

$$ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_i = oldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} \mathbf{Q}^j \qquad \quad ilde{oldsymbol{\omega}}_{ij} - oldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} = \mathbf{Q}_i ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j - ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_i \mathbf{Q}_j$$

where $\omega^{[2]} = \omega$ and is related to μ as

$$\omega_{ij} = Q^-_{a|i} \mu^{ab} Q^-_{b|j} \, .$$

Summary of AdS₅/CFT₄

- The AdS_5/CFT_4 QSC is an analytic $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ Q-system.
- The basic Q-functions are P_a, Q_i. The asymptotics of these functions encodes quantum numbers.
- One way of presenting the QSC is through the $\mathbf{P}\mu$ system

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{a} = \mu_{ab}^{\checkmark} \mathbf{P}^{b} . \qquad \qquad \tilde{\mu}_{ab} - \mu_{ab} = \mathbf{P}_{a} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{b} - \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{a} \mathbf{P}_{b} .$$
Hodge Dual

The most important cut-structures



Extending the QSC (Monodromy Bootstrap for $\mathfrak{su}_{2|2}$)

Monodromy Bootstrap

- Want to study QSC with symmetry group $\mathfrak{su}_{2|2}$ (Really $\mathfrak{gl}_{2|2}$).
- Informal idea: Monodromy around branch-point leads to a symmetry transformation of the Q-system



■ The precise way to proceed is given in [SE,Volin '21].

Monodromy bootstrap, technical details

- Property 1: There exist a Q-system, Q, analytical in the upper half-plane.
- Property 2: \mathbf{P}_a has a short-cut, \mathbf{Q}_i has a long-cut.
- Property 3: There exist a symmetry transformations sending Q to a

gauge+rotations+Hodge Duality

lower half-plane analytic Q-system Q^{\downarrow} .

The symmetry transformation depends on the path



Gluing two Q-systens

- Following these rules a classification of models was obtained [SE,Volin '21].
- Today: Focus only on the case that might be relevant for AdS₃/CFT₂.
- Basic intuition: Need two UHPA Q-systems Q, Q to describe "left-and right-movers".



Connect them through the Monodromy Bootstrap procedure



The P μ -system and Q ω -system

• Working out the details one finds the following $\mathbf{P}\mu$ -system

Cuts are no longer quadratic!



The Q ω -system is

Opposite direction $\mathbf{Q}_{k}^{\gamma} = \omega_{k}{}^{i}\mathbf{Q}_{j}, \quad (\omega_{k}{}^{i})^{\overline{\gamma}} - \omega_{k}{}^{i} = \mathbf{Q}_{k}(\mathbf{Q}^{i})^{\overline{\gamma}} - (\mathbf{Q}_{k})^{\gamma}\mathbf{Q}^{i}.$

The AdS₃/CFT₂ Conjecture

Conjecture: These equations describe the spectrum of planar string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ with pure RR-flux!

[SE,Volin '21][Cavaglià,Gromov,Stefanski,Torrielli, 21']



- What will we compute?
 - Large volume limit, reproduce the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz.
 - Perturbative calculations for small length operators.

The AdS₃/CFT₂ Quantum Spectral Curve Conjecture

Quick Background on AdS₃/CFT₂ Integrability

■ What do we hope to study? [Babichenko, Stefanski, Zarembo '09]

String Sigma Model on $AdS_3 \times$ $S^3 \times$ T^4 with pure RR-flux



What do we know about the system?

 World-sheet S-matrix has been bootstrapped! Asymptotic Bethe equations have been obtained. Elementary excitations have dispersion relation:[Borsato, Ohlsson-Sax, Sfondrini, Stefanski '13,'14,'16]

$$E(p) = \sqrt{m^2 + 16g^2 \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}}$$
 $m = \pm 1, 0$

massless modes, new feature

- Since recently there is is a TBA [Frolov,Sfondrini 21']
- No easy checks at weak coupling (no data from dual CFT). (Some progress [Ohlsson-Sax,Sfondrini,Stefanski '14])

Large Volume Checks

Recall the basic structure of the proposed QSC



■ S-matrix ⇒ Asymptotic Bethe Equations. Try to match with exact BE in appropriate limit

$$\begin{split} \frac{Q_{1|1}^{\perp}}{Q_{1|1}} &= 1 & 1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_{1}} \frac{y_{j,k} - x_{j}^{\perp}}{p_{j,k} - x_{j}^{\perp}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_{1}} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{n_{k}x_{j}^{\perp}}}{1 - \frac{1}{n_{k}x_{j}^{\perp}}}, \quad (4.16) \\ \frac{Q_{1|1}^{(2)}Q_{1}^{-}(Q^{2})^{-}}{Q_{1|1}^{(-2)}Q_{1}^{+}(Q^{2})^{+}} &= -1 & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_{j}^{\perp}}{x_{k}^{\perp}} - \frac{x_{j}^{\perp}}{x_{k}^{\perp} - x_{j}^{\perp}} - \frac{1}{n_{k}x_{j}^{\perp}}} \frac{z_{k}^{\perp} - y_{k}}{n_{k}^{\perp} - z_{k}^{\perp}} \frac{z_{k}^{\perp} - y_{k}}{n_{k}^{\perp} - z_{k}^{\perp}} \frac{z_{k}^{\perp} - y_{k}}{n_{k}^{\perp} - z_{k}^{\perp}} \sum_{j=1}^{K_{1}} \frac{z_{k}^{\perp} - y_{k}}}{n_{k}^{\perp} - z_{k}^{\perp}} \sum_{j=1}^{K_{1}} \frac{z_{k}^{\perp} - y_{k}}{n_{k}^{\perp} - z_{k}^{\perp}} \sum_{j=1}^{K_{1}} \frac{z_{k}^{\perp} - y_{k}}}{n_{k}^{\perp} - z_$$

What are these equations

A simplified example of the equations:

$$\left(\frac{x_k^+}{x_k^-}\right)^L = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq k}} \left(\frac{x_k^+ - x_j^-}{x_k^- - x_j^+}\right)^\eta \frac{1 - \frac{1}{x_k^+ x_j^-}}{1 - \frac{1}{x_k^- x_j^+}} (\sigma^{\bullet\bullet})^2 (x_k, x_j)$$

x is the so-called Zhukovsky variable

$$x + \frac{1}{x} = \frac{u}{g}$$



• $\sigma^{\bullet\bullet}(x, y)$ is the dressing phase

Large Volume Checks

For large volume approximation of QSC we need 2 assumptions:

- Q-functions scale as their asymptotics
- μ_1^2 , μ_1^2 have square root cuts!
- In the limit it is possible to find a subset of Q-functions explicitly. Example:

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \propto x^{-\frac{L}{2}} \Sigma$$

- ABA is then reproduced from QQ-relations and the additional dressing phases are constrained by $\mathbf{P}_{a}^{\gamma} = \mathbf{P}_{\dot{a}}\mu^{\dot{a}}{}_{a}$ to satisfy crossing equations.
- The crossing equations match exactly those found in the study of the AdS₃/CFT₂ S-matrix [Borsato,Ohlsson Sax,Sfondrini,Stefanski,Torielli '13] (see also [Frolov,Sfondrini '21])

Summarizing AdS₃/CFT₂ QSC

- I have presented a proposal for a Quantum Spectral Curve for AdS₃× S³×T⁴ based on symmetry considerations and lessons from previous curves. (Procedure presented Monodromy bootstrap. [Cavaglià,Gromov,Stefanski,Torrielli, 21'] found it independently.)
- The curve reproduces the ABA in the large volume limit and gives the correct crossing equations.
- So far I have only discussed massive modes. We expect massless to also be included by slightly changing some analytic properties.
- Extent of validity of ABA should be in question. Important to actually solve the curve.

Solving the AdS_3 QSC

What can we calculate

What are the challenges?

- No results to compare against: Need both numerics and analytic weak coupling to double check.
- All analytical methods used in the past relies on quadratic branch cuts.

Review of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ recipe

First: Quick review of numerical and analytical methods in $\mathcal{N}=4$

[Marboe, Volin'14, Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov '15].

• Step 1: Ansatz for \mathbf{P}_a :



Step 2: Reconstruct μ_{ab} :

RH Problem:
$$\mu_{ab}^{\gamma} - \mu_{ab} = \mathbf{P}_{a}\mathbf{P}_{b}^{\gamma} - \mathbf{P}_{a}^{\gamma}\mathbf{P}_{b}$$

FD Equation: $\mu_{ab}^{[2]} = (\delta_{a}^{c} - \mathbf{P}_{a}\mathbf{P}^{c})\mu_{cd}(\delta_{b}^{d} + \mathbf{P}^{d}\mathbf{P}_{b})$

■ Step 3: Impose **P**µ

$$\mathbf{P}_{a}^{\gamma} = \mu_{ab}\mathbf{P}^{b} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{P}^{a} = \chi_{ab}^{ab}\mathbf{P}_{a} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

AdS₃

Now to AdS₃. Simple " \mathfrak{sl}_2 sector". ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ analogue tr($Z\nabla^S Z$) + ..., S even).

Technical challenge: P_a , P_a does not have square-root cuts

$$\mathsf{P}_a \propto \sum_{n=-M_a}^{\infty} \frac{c_{a,n}}{x^n}$$
 Not good near cut

Can we find another object? Consider

$$\mathbf{P}_{a}^{2\gamma} = \mathbf{W}_{a}{}^{b}\mathbf{P}_{b}, \qquad (5.1)$$

where

Regularised
$$\mu$$

 $W_{a}{}^{b} = (\mu^{R})_{a}{}^{\dot{c}}(\mu^{R})_{\dot{c}}{}^{b}$. $(\mu^{R})_{a}{}^{\dot{b}} = \mu_{a}{}^{\dot{b}} + \mathbf{P}_{a}(\mathbf{P}^{\dot{b}})^{\bar{\gamma}}$

• We can restore $\mu_a{}^b$ given the gluing matrix $N_k{}^j$. It is defined by

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k}^{\gamma}(u) = N_{k}^{\ \prime} \mathbf{Q}_{j}(-u) \,. \tag{5.2}$$

We considered only cases with $N_k^{\ l}$ off-diagonal.

Formulating a weak coupling algorithm

Introduce a new object

$$\mathbb{P}_{a} = (\mathcal{W}^{\ell})_{a}{}^{b}\mathbf{P}_{b}, \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\ell}^{2\gamma} = \boldsymbol{\ell} - 1.$$
 (5.3)

• Then \mathbb{P}_a is a quadratic cut function. It can be parameterised as

$$\mathbb{P}_{a} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d_{a,k}}{x^{k}}$$

Trick: Compute \mathbf{P}_a^{γ} in two different ways.

$$\mathbb{P}_a^{\gamma} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_{a,k} x^k$$
, $\mathbb{P}_a^{\gamma} = (\mathcal{W}^{\ell^{\gamma}})_a{}^b (\mu^R)_b{}^{\dot{c}} \mathbf{P}_{\dot{c}} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} rac{\widetilde{d}_{a,k}}{x^k}$.

Find point where $d_{a,k} = \tilde{d}_{a,-k}$ using numerics.

Numerical results for S = 2, 4

Implementing the numerical algorithm



From numerical data we find the following ansatz:

$$\mathbf{P}_{a} \simeq_{x \to \infty} \sum_{a=-M_{a}}^{\infty} \frac{c_{a,n}}{x^{n}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{a} \simeq_{x \to 1} \sum_{n} \frac{d_{a,n}^{(0)}}{x^{n}} + g \sum_{n} \frac{d_{a,n}^{(1)}}{x^{n}} \log\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) + g^{2} \sum_{n} \frac{d_{a,n}^{(2)}}{x^{n}} \log^{2}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) + \dots$$

$$43/51$$

Analytic Solution

Inverting W allows us to write

$$\mathbf{P}_{a} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\ell)^{n}}{n!} \log(\mathcal{W})_{a}{}^{b} \mathbb{P}_{b}, \qquad (5.4)$$

- With this ansatz one proceeds to calculate μ and imposes the $\mathbf{P}\mu$ -system.
- This closes the system and we find the anomalous dimension!

Analytic S = 2 results

We found the following result

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{S=2} &= 12\,g^2 + \frac{864}{35\pi}g^3 + (-48 - \frac{576}{7\pi^2})g^4 + \left(-\frac{405504}{875\pi^3} - \frac{51552}{143\pi}\right)g^5 \\ &+ (444 - \frac{70665216}{4375\pi^4} + \frac{230121984}{175175\pi^2})g^6 \\ &+ (-\frac{16896}{35\pi}\zeta_3 - \frac{4965482496}{21875\pi^5} + \frac{6791453184}{875875\pi^3} + \frac{1102677696}{146965\pi})g^7 \\ &+ (-288\zeta_3 + \frac{1898496}{1225\pi^2}\zeta_3 - 576\zeta_5 - 5844 \\ &- \frac{302725824512}{109375\pi^6} - \frac{9030729728}{25025\pi^4} + \frac{25695082110528}{282907625\pi^2})g^8 \end{split}$$

Comparing with ABA

$$\gamma_{S=2}^{ABA} = 12g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g)^4 \implies \frac{864}{35\pi}g^3 \text{ massless wrapping}?$$

Terms in brown agrees with $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $\gamma_{S=4} \rightarrow_{\pi \rightarrow \infty} = \gamma_{S=2}^{\mathcal{N}=4} + \mathcal{O}(g)^6_{45/51}$

More general S results

• Using the same procedure we can find $\gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ for \mathcal{S} even.

Some easy patterns? Yes! Write

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathit{f}_{(2)}(\mathcal{S}) g^2 + \mathit{f}_{(3)}(\mathcal{S}) g^3 + \mathit{f}_{(4)}(\mathcal{S}) g^4 + \mathcal{O}(g^5)$$
 ,

then from data for S = 2, 4, 6, 8 we found the following results

$$f_{(2)}(S) = 8 S_1(S)$$
 $f_{(3)}(S) = \frac{384}{35\pi} S_1(S)^2$

as well as

$$f_{(4)}(S) = \Delta_4^{\mathcal{N}=4} - \frac{512}{21\pi^2} S_1(S)^3.$$
 (5.5)

with $S_1(S) = \sum_{k=1}^{S} \frac{1}{k}$.

Curiously the the expansion in g does not seem to commute with $S \to \infty$.

Summarizing Solving the Curve

- While the proposed curve remains challenging it can be solved.
- Using a variety of techniques γ_{S=2} has been calculated up to g⁸. The result contains ζ-values and inverse powers of π.
- The method used extends to even S. Will be important to also eventually study su₂ sectors and more general settings.
- Note that while the QSC is a conjecture it is a very sharp conjecture.
- Many open questions:
 - Can we find "massless wrapping terms" from standard Luscher corrections?
 - Strong coupling numerically?
 - Can we be sure there are no excited massless states?

Conclusions and Outlook

Conclusions

- The QSC for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is a powerful-integrability tool, it should be extended beyond $\mathcal{N} = 4$,
- Using the structures of Q-systems it is possible to construct new QSC's. A method to do so is Monodromy Bootstrap.
- Coupling two psu(1, 1|2) Q-systems using Monodromy Bootsrap gives a curve which conjecturally describes the spectrum, in the planar limit, of string theory on AdS₃×S³× T⁴.
- While challenging the conjectured curved can be solved both numerically and analytically in the weak coupling regime. This gives very precise predictions for the anomalous dimension.

Outlook

- It would be interesting to see if the single psu(1, 1|2) spectral could describe AdS₂/CFT₁ [Sorokin, Tseytlin, Wulff, Zarembo '11]. Can we use results from [Hoare, Pittelli, Torrielli '14,'15]?
- It would be very interesting to study QSCs based on symmetries beyond gl_{m|n}. In particular, D_{2,1|α} is relevant for string theory on AdS₃×S³×S³×S¹.
- It would be very interesting to compare our precise predictions with the AdS₃ TBA [Frolov,Sfondrini '21].
- Massless modes still need clarification, would be very interesting to compare with [Brollo,le Plat,Sfondrini,Suzuki '23]
- We could try to deform the curve in various ways:
 - Fishchain? [Gromov,Sever,'19]
 - Deformations towards NS-flux sector [Hoare, Tseytlin '13]. (Compare with [Eberhardt, Gaberdiel, Gopakumar '18, '19])



Thank You!